



THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

In re Application of	
Inventors: Richard TAYLOR et al.	: Confirmation No. 2149
U.S. Patent Application No. 09/521,663	: Group Art Unit: 2625
Filed: March 8, 2000	: Examiner: King Y. POON
For: PRINTING OF DOCUMENTS FROM	M A COMPUTER

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Attn: BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

RESPONSE TO NOTIFICATION OF NON-COMPLIANT APPEAL BRIEF

In accordance with the May 21, 2007, Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief, Appellants submit herewith a revised "Status of Claims" section of the Appeal Brief.

To the extent necessary, Appellants hereby request any required extension of time under 37 C.F.R. §1.136 and hereby authorize the Commissioner to charge any required fees not otherwise provided for to Deposit Account No. 08-2025.

Respectfully submitted,

Richard TAYLOR et al.

By:

Allan M. Lowe

Reg. No. 19,641

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400 Telephone: 703-684-1111

Facsimile: 970-898-0640 June 18, 2007 AML/cjf Serial No. 09/521,663

III. Status of Claims

Claims 1-35, 52-55 and 59 are pending.

Claim 59 is allowed.

Claim 14 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form to include all the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 36-51, 56-58 and 60-65 have been canceled.

Claims 1-4, 6, 7, 9, 17-24, 26, 28, 35, 54 and 55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gerlach et al. (USP 5,469,532). Claims 5, 8, 25 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gerlach et al. in view of Snipp (USP 5,699,495). Claims 10 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gerlach et al. in view of Motoyama (USP 5,319,748). Claims 11-13, 15, 16, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 52 and 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gerlach in view of Motoyama '748 and further in view of Siegel (USP 5,678,133) and Motoyama (EP 0 538 059).

The rejection of claims 1-13, 15-35, and 52-55 is being appealed.